Scientometrics, 14(5-6): p.361-363, 1988

VASILIJ VASILEVICH NALIMOV AND HENRY SMALL WIN THE 1987 DEREK JOHN DE SOLLA PRICE AWARD

The Editorial and Advisory Board and The Publishers of *Scientometrics* have awarded Professor Vasilij Vasilevich *Nalimov* (Moscow State University, USSR) and Dr. Henry *Small* (Institute for Scientific Information, Philadelphia, USA) the 1987 Derek John de Solla Price Award for their distinguished contributions to the field of scientometrics.



Dr. Loet *Leydesdorff* (left) presents the Price Award to Dr. Henry *Small* at the awarding ceremony held at the EASST-workshop on "The Relations between Qualitative Theory and Scientometric Methods in S&T-studies", Amsterdam, December 10–11, 1987.

The photo on the awarding of Professor Nalimov and a citation on his scientific achievements will be published in the next issue of our journal.

COMMENTS ON HENRY SMALL, RECIPIENT OF THE 1987 DEREK DE SOLLA PRICE AWARD

A. F. J. VAN RAAN

Science Studies Unit, LISBON-Institute, University of Leiden Stationsplein 242, 2312 AR Leiden (The Netherlands)

In the early seventies, Henry Small introduced co-citation analysis as an instrument for science studies, in particular for studying the structure, and, as a function of time, the 'dynamics' of science. It was, around 1973 and 1974, the birth of what we call 'bibliometric modeling', defined as a detailed representation of the structure of international science at the research front, based on the number of times two documents have been cited together in the same referencing publications.

The phenomenon of co-citation yields a degree of partnership between two cited papers. Different paper-partners can be associated with further paper-partners, clusters arise, and, on their turn, clusters eventually link together into superclusters.

The pioneering element of *Small*'s work therefore lies in the possibility to determine the structure of science, year-by-year, independent of old or previously constructed disciplinary categories. In fact, the scientists themselves generate the structure as a direct derivate of one of their major activities: writing publications.

For Henry Small the main interest was to picture or to 'map' the development of scientific fields, and, eventually, of science as a whole. But Small's colleague and co-pioneer, who should be mentioned without any doubt when speaking about co-citation analysis, Belver Griffith, predicted already at the very beginning that science policy would have great interest in these maps.

It took, however, about a decade before the first science policy-related organization commissioned a co-citation analysis. And although many foreigners in early times (e.g., Heinrich *Heine*) observed that in the Netherlands everything happens always fifty years later on, this is certainly not true for science studies and related work, because it was the Netherlands Advisory Council for Science Policy asking for this first policy-oriented co-citation analysis.

Since then, it is quite clear that Henry *Small* did not only produce a research tool for science studies, but also, let us be frank, a commercial tool for science policy.

This is not the place to discuss the methodological and technical advantages and/or shortcomings of co-citation analysis. And also not the place to discuss the, in my opinion, serious problems arising by the fact that the technique has become commercial. It is clear that Henry Small's child of brain survived its childhood diseases

and, if you like it or not, ... became a *yuppie*. Schoolmaster of Science Studies David *Edge* warned us, already meany years ago, when he told us 'why he is not a co-citationist'. But now his warning has got an extra weight, since attempts are made to base science policy decisions on co-citation analysis.

But I promised not to discuss these problems here. Very recently, several nice publications on the use of co-citation analysis appeared and important work on that subject is currently going on. So, the problem is now brought under the flood-light, and that is precisely what should be done. In the meantime, Henry *Small* is continuing basic research on co-citation analysis. I know Henry as a quiet man, who doesn't like to make much fuss. I think he would agree with a typical Dutch saying: "please dont't get excited, you are already crazy enough". I am sure that he has his own thoughts about commercializing co-citation techniques and the limits of such an enterprise.

Therefore, I think, Henry Small still is primarily what he always has been: an information scientist. In one of his more recent articles, about the synthesis of specialty narratives from co-citation clusters, this attitude is clearly visible. Here in fact the idea of a 'mini-review' of scientific specialties is discussed. Or, to put it in another way, is it possible to use sets of citing and cited documents in order to construct an artificial state-of-the-art or review of the subfield under study. This would mean, that thought-processes involved in reviewing a field can be modeled by a guided-tour through a co-citation network. I think this idea to construct a synthetic review, possibly of science as a whole, is a major cognitive endeavour, or must I say, adventure.

So Henry Small is an information scientist. But, is he a scientometrician too? Of course, but you have to be very careful with the title scientometrician. There is a number of people who think that scientometricians are a quasi-religious group of maniacs, and even within the science studies community many people has their own feelings about 'number crunchers'. You have to be careful these days with labels, therefore, quantitative studies of science sounds better.

I must admit, however, that I like data too, and that I performed some countings. Henry's complete oeuvre is cited several hundred times in journals covered by the Science Citation Index and the Social Science Citation Index in the period 1973—1987. His work can be found as a reference in published work in a variety of scientific journals. Among others we find, of course, Social Studies of Science, Research Policy, Library & Information Science, Scientometrics, Journal of Documentation, Journal of the American Society for Information Science, Current Contents, but also the American Sociological Review, Annals of the Association of American Geographers, Environment & Planning, Philosphy of the Social Sciences, Psychological

Reports, Multivariate Behavioral Research, Physics Today, Annals of Internal Medicine, and Geoscience Canada.

Thus, the referencing packet of journals is much wider than the packet of journals in which Henry *Small*'s publications appear and, at least in my opinion, this is a nice indicator of the wide-spread influence of Small's scientific oeuvre.

Five years ago, Howard White and Belver Griffith performed an author co-citation analysis in the field of science, technology and society. Henry Small was, of course, included as an author in the study. Author co-citation analysis means the counting of the times any two authors are cited together, irrespective of the particular documents they have been published. It is, in fact, the process of oeuvre co-citation, rather than pairs of individual documents. In that study, we find Henry Small 'clustered' with, among others, Daryl Chubin, Jonathan and Stephan Cole, Belver Griffith, Daniel Sullivan, Mike Moravesik and Nick Mullins. Today, we find strong author co-citation relations of Henry Small, with, in proper ranking, Eugene Garfield, Derek de Solla Price, Belver Griffith, Jonathan Cole, Mike Moravesik, Daryl Chubin, Francis Narin, Stephen Cole, Nick Mullins, Daniel Sullivan, Leo Hargens, Susan Cozzens.

Without any doubt, Henry Small had and has a very important impact on the quantitative studies of science. His scientific interest and scolarship are characterized precisely by the title of this EASST-Workshop: 'The Relations between Qualitative Theory and Scientometric Methods in Science and Technology Studies'. In this field Henry Small still remains one of the pioneers. Therefore, Henry Small's receipt of the 1987 Derek de Solla Price Award is well-deserved.