## **Current Comments**

Journal Citation Studies. 32.
Canadian Journals, Part 1:
What They Cite and What Cites Them

Number 33

August 13, 1979

In the fall of 1977, we published a citation study of Canadian journals by Claude T. Bishop of the National Research Council of Canada. In that study. Bishop ranked Canadian journals included in the 1975 Science Citation Index®'s (SCI®) Journal Citation Reports® (JCR 1M) (uses 1974 data) in terms of absolute citation and impact. Impact is a measure of the average frequency of citation each article in a journal received in a given year. Bishop compared his ranking of Canadian journals with the rest of the more than 2.500 source journals in the SCI data base.

At that time, I promised to follow up Bishop's study using a somewhat different approach, one similar to that which I adopted for my study of French, Italian, and other national groupings of journals. This approach treats a particular group of journals, in this case Canadian, as though they were one large Canadian Journal of Science. This first part of a two-part study shows primarily what Canadian journals cite, and what cites them.

The data come from the 1977 SCI. Table 1 lists for each of 46 Canadian journals total citations and impact factor. The world rank for both measures is also shown.

Altogether these journals published 5,474 articles in 1977, an average of 119 articles per year per journal. This accounts for 1.7% of the 319,403 source items processed for the *JCR* that year. Articles in the Canadian journals contained 103,631 citations, or 1.74% of the 5,947,313 citations processed. Each article averaged 18.9 citations, as com-

pared with 18.6 citations for the entire JCR file.

The 5,500 articles mentioned above were not published solely by Canadian scientists. Nor do they represent the total output of Canadian scientists. Indeed, Canadians published an estimated 12,393 articles in *SCI* journals in 1977. This number is based on *SCI* first-author data

Table 2 lists the 50 journals most cited by Canadian journals. These journals accounted for only 26% of the Canadian citations. Twelve of the journals are Canadian. They accounted for 28% of the Canadian citations to the top 50. Does this indicate that Canadian journals have a national bias? If there were no national bias, we would expect to see Canadian journals cited only in proportion to their share of the world literature (less than 2%). (Don't confuse this typical national bias, i.e., receiving citations from other Canadian journals, with self-citation, i.e., the common tendency for a journal to cite itself.)

In Table 2 (column F) one finds that for half of the Canadian journals on the list, more than 80% of the citations they received from Canadian journals were self-citations. This suggests that the heavy citation of Canadian journals by Canadian journals is due more to self-citation than to national bias. In fact, none of the Canadian journals in the top 50 are on the list because of the citations they received from other Canadian journals. Column B shows that it takes at least 250 citations from Canadian journals for a Canadian journal to make the list. Column G shows that no Canadian

Table 1. Canadian journals indexed by the Science Citation Index in 1977.

		World Rank		World Rank
	Number of Times Cited	In Citations	T	In I
	imes Cited	Citations	Impact	Impact
Arctic	118	2,154	.22	2,133
Canad. Anaesth. Soc. J.	725	1,008	1.19	720
Canad. Entomol.	1,534	586	.59	1,378
Canad. Geotech. J.	102	2,214	.25	2,068
Canad. J. Anim. Sci.	648	1,073	.68	1,241
Canad. J. Behav. Sci.	192	1,872	.53	1,455
Canad. J. Biochem.	3,157	311	1.83	412
Canad. J. Bot.	3,386	284	.99	868
Canad. J. Chem.	10,742	70	1.61	494
Canad. J. Chem. Eng.	848	904	.62	1,320
Canad. J. Comp. Med.	539	1,210	.80	1,095
Canad. J. Earth Sci.	1,572	570	1.08	801
Canad. J. Genet. Cytol.	1,018	798	.93	929
Canad. J. Math.	751	986	.24	2,111
Canad. J. Med. Technol.	43	2,560	.18	2,256
Canad. J. Microbiol.	2,936	332	1.11	774
Canad. J. Neurol. Sci.	106	2,198	.64	1,303
Canad. J. Ophthalmol.	258	1,694	.37	1,787
Canad. J. Pharm. Sci.	143	2,047	.56	1,417
Canad. J. Phys.	4,559	208	1.20	715
Canad. J. Physiol. Pharm.	2,138	429	1.40	594
Canad, J. Plant Sci.	943	841	.48	1,530
Canad. J. Psychol.	576	1,159	.56	1,412
Canad. J. Soil Sci.	539	1,210	.52	1,477
Canad. J. Spectrosc.	103	2,210	.47	1,568
Canad. J. Surg.	445	1,335	.57	1,408
Canad. J. Zool.	2,111	436	.90	966
Canad. Med. Assoc. J.	3,437	281	.95	908
Can. Metall. Q.	174	1,936	.33	1,875
Canad. Psychol. Rev.	20	2,714	.11	2,451
Canad. Rev. Soc. Anthro.	13	2,752	.08	2,518
Canad. Vet. J.	259	1,691	.41	1,691
Clin. Biochem.	389	1,423	.84	1,040
Forest Chron.	99	2,231	.26	2,048
Geosci. Canada	61	2,443	.67	1,251
J. Can. Assoc. Radiol.	235	1,753	.35	1,826
J. Can. Dent. Assoc.	129	2,108	.14	2,355
J. Canad. Petrol. Technol.	27	2,670	.15	2,349
J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada	4,071	238	1.35	622
J. Rheumatol.	340	1,509	1.58	508
J. Roy. Astron. Soc. Canada	115	2,165	.31	1,911
Mem. Entomol. Soc. Canada	72	2,380	.43	1,641
Pulp & Paper—Canada	442	1,342	.29	1,965
Rev. Canad. Biol.	324	1,533	.46	1,573
Science Forum	9	2,780	.06	2,568
Union Med. Canada	362	1,473	.15	2,322

journal received more than 219 citations from other Canadian journals.

The appearance of heavy self-citation on the part of Canadian journals changes when we examine the ratio of self-citations to the total citations received from all journals. For seven of | ratio of self-citations to total citations

the 12 Canadian journals listed, selfcitations accounted for 20% or less of the total citations received. Based on what we found when we studied the journals of Germany,2 France,3, and Italy,4 this is about normal. The highest

**Table 2:** The 50 journals cited most by Canadian journals. A = total citations received. B = Canadian citations received. C = self-citations. D = % of total citations which are Canadian citations (B/A). E = % of total citations which are self-citations (C/A). F = % of Canadian citations which are self-citations (C/B). G = citations from Canadian journals exclusive of self-citations (B-C).

	A	В	c	D	E	F	$\mathbf{c}$
J. Amer. Chem. Soc.	103.958	2.168	_	2.1	_	_	_
J. Fish. Res. Board Canada	4,071	1,400	1.181	34.9	29.4	84.4	219
J. Biol. Chem.	96,852	1,308	· —	1.4	_		_
Canad, J. Chem.	10.742	1.306	1,254	12.2	11.7	96.0	52
J. Chem. Phys.	68,490	1,244	· —	1.8	_		_
Nature	73,507	920	_	1.3	_		_
Biochem, Biophys, Acta	61,470	832	_	1.4		_	_
Science	55,990	801	_	1.4		-	_
Canad, J. Bot.	3,386	796	680	23.5	20.1	85.4	116
New Engl. J. Med.	39,129	659	_	1.7		_	_
Canad. J. Earth Sci.	1,572	650	588	41.4	37.4	90.5	62
Lancet	47,214	631	_	1.3	_		_
J. Bacteriol.	20,305	587		2.9			_
Canad. Entomol.	1,534	586	467	38.2	30.4	79.7	119
J. Phys. Chem.—US	19,337	574	_	3.0	_		_
P. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA	65,530	557		0.9	_	_	_
Canad, J. Zool.	2,111	522	371	24.7	17.6	71.1	151
J. Org. Chem.	28,844	515		2.1	_	_	
Biochem. J.	24,104	487	_	1.4	_		
Phys. Rev.	42,027	449	_	1.1	_		
Biochemistry—US	35,431	442	_	1.3	_	_	_
Canad. J. Microbiol.	2,936	424	327	14.4	11.1	77.1	97
Canad. J. Phys.	4,559	419	392	9.2	8.6	93.6	27
Canad. Med. Assoc. J.	3,437	415	334	12.1	9.7	80.5	81
J. Chem. Soc.	17,271	410	_	2.4	_	_	_
J. Physiol.—London	27,804	369	_	1.3	_	_	_
Canad, J. Biochem.	3,157	361	242	11.4	7.7	67.0	119
Tetrahedron Lett.	21,450	354	_	1.7		_	_
Amer. J. Physiol.	23,821	353	_	1.5	_	_	_
Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.	28,013	348	_	1.2		_	_
T. Amer. Fish. Soc.	1,358	346	_	25.5	_		_
Canad. J. Plant Sci.	943	333	256	35.3	27.2	76.9	77
J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.	15,658	332	_	2.1	_	_	_
J. Clin. Invest.	30,930	328	_	1.1		_	_
J. Amer. Med. Assoc.	20,905	316	_	1.5		_	_
Brit. Med. J.	26,408	309	_	1.2	_	_	_
Ecology	4,453	307	_	6.9	_		_
J. Gen. Microbiol.	6,374	302	_	4.7	_	_	_
Circulation	22,764	301	_	1.3	_	_	_
Plant Physiol.	10,440	291	_	2.8	_		_
Phytopathology	4,728	281	_	5.9	_	_	_
Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull.	4,781	277	_	5.8	_	_	
J. Cell Biol.	22,850	270	_	1.2			_
J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm.	15,724	270	_	1.7	_	_	_
Amer. J. Botany	3,676	268	_	7.3	_	_	_
Canad, J. Physiol. Pharm.	2,138	268	211	12.5	9.9	78.7	57
J. Anim. Sci.	4,700	265		5.6		_	
Arch. Biochem. Biophys.	17,848	262	_	1.5			
Phys. Rev. Lett.	37,099	256	_	0.7	_		_
J. Geophys. Res.	15,516	250	_	1.6	_	_	_
	10,010	2.0		•			

received was 37.4% for the Canadian Journal of Earth Science.

It is not surprising that the Journal of the American Chemical Society is the most-cited journal, since it is the most-cited journal in most of our studies.

Would you have guessed that the Jour-level of the Journal of Chemistry and the Canadia Chemistry. Although the Chemical Physics is fifth, decidedly life science bias.

nal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada would rank second? It is closely followed by the Journal of Biological Chemistry and the Canadian Journal of Chemistry. Although the Journal of Chemical Physics is fifth, the list has a decidedly life science bias.

Table 3. The 50 journals which most frequently cite Canadian journals. A = citations to all journals. B = citations to Canadian journals. C = self-citations. D = % of citations to all journals which are to Canadian journals (B/A). E = % of total citations which are self-citations (C/A). F = % of Canadian citations which are self-citations (C/B). C = citations to Canadian journals exclusive of self-citations (B-C).

	A	В	C	D	E	F	G
J. Fish. Res. Board Canada	7,035	1,315	1,181	18.7	16.8	90.0	132
Canad. J. Chem.	14,167	1,307	1.254	9.2	8.9	96.0	52
Canad. J. Bot.	7,245	816	680	11.3	9.4	83.3	136
J. Amer. Chem. Soc.	55,765	731	_	1.3	_	_	-
Canad. J. Earth Sci.	6,411	673	588	10.5	9.2	87.4	85
Canad. J. Zool.	5,537	636	371	11.5	6.7	58.3	265
Canad. Entomol.	2,863	569	467	19.9	16.3	82.1	102
J. Chem. Phys.	42,660	552	_	1.3			_
Canad. J. Microbiol.	5,234	415	327	7.9	6.3	78.8	88
J. Org. Chem.	25,644	409		1.6	_		_
Canad. J. Phys.	4,523	404	392	8.9	8.7	97.0	12
Canad, Med. Assoc. J.	5,399	382	334	7.1	6.2	87.4	48
Canad. J. Plant Sci.	2,268	340	256	15.0	11.3	75.3	84
Biochim. Biophys. Acta	54,686	288		0.5		_	
Canad. J. Biochem.	5,016	282	242	5.6	4.8	85.8	40
Carbohyd. Res.	6,467	280		4.3	_	_	
Canad. J. Physiol. Pharm.	4,990	279	211	5.6	4.2	75.6	68
J. Water Pollut. Con. F.	8,936	269	_	3.0		_	_
J. Chem. Soc. Perk. T. 2	10,875	248	_	2.3	_	_	
Canad. J. Anim. Sci.	1,658	245	209	14.8	12.6	85.3	36
Inorg. Chem.	19,824	226	_	1.1	_	_	_
J. Fish. Biol.	3.754	226	_	6.0	_	_	-
Chem. Phys. Lett.	17,422	221		1.3	_	_	-
J. Phys. Chem.—US	13,338	212	_	1.6	_		-
Tetrahedron	14,877	200	_	1.3	_	_	
J. Organomet. Chem.	21,287	194	_	0.9	_	_	
Nature	31,602	193	_	0.6		_	
J. Bacteriol.	16,692	190	_	1.1		_	
Phys. Rev. B.	33,691	185	_	0.6	_	_	
Canad. J. Chem. Eng.	2,607	183	164	7.0	6.3	89.6	19
Union Med. Canada	4,140	175	107	4.2	4.2	61.1	68
Plant Physiol.	9,445	173	_	1.8	_	_	-
Environ. Entomol.	2,445	171		7.0	_	_	-
Tetrahedron Lett.	14,021	170	_	1.2	_	_	-
Canad. J. Soil Sci.	977	169	132	17.3	13.5	78.1	37
T. Amer. Fish. Soc.	1,620	168	_	10.4	_		-
Chem. Rev.	8,187	164	_	2.0	_	_	-
J. Molec. Spectrosc.	4,071	163	_	4.0		_	
Mar. Biol.	5,711	160	_	2.8	_	_	
Org. Magn. Resonance	3,411	160	_	4.7	_	_	
B. Chem. Soc. Japan	13,348	153	_	1.2	_	-	_
Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.	2,499	152		6.1	_		
Canad. J. Genet. Cytol.	1,725	147	111	8.5	6.4	75.5	36
Amer. J. Vet. Res.	7,181	145		2.0	_	_	-
Nucl. Phys. A	18,174	145	_	8.0	_	_	_
Phytopathology	4,769	144		3.0	_	_	_
J. Chem. Soc. Perk. T. 1	10,842	138	_	1.3	_	_	-
J. Biol. Chem.	41,654	134	_	0.3	_	-	
J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.	8,474	133		1.6	_		-
Hydrobiologia	3,507	132	_	3.8	_	_	-

If you combined the figures for the twelve Canadian journals in Chemistry, Botany, Earth Science, Entomology, Zoology, Microbiology, Physics, Medicine, Biochemistry, and Plant Science that follow the Journal of Biological Chemistry in Table 2, you

would have over 5,000 citations. Of these, most would go to the journals published by the National Research Council of Canada, whose output might be compared to that of Comptes Rendus or Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences—USA.

As mentioned above, the 46 Canadian journals provided over 103,000 citations. However, they only received a little over 50,000 citations. Hence, the "balance of payments" is approximately 2 to 1 in favor of import against export. To put it another way, Canadian journals draw on foreign journals more than the rest of the world draws on them. As will be seen in part two of this study, this is not unexpected—the most important research done by Canadians is published outside of Canada!

Table 3 shows the list of journals that most frequently cite Canadian journals. Once again, the importance of the Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada is obvious. Its appearance is not surprising, nor is the appearance of the other Canadian journals. But the appearance of the Journal of the American Chemical Society, the Journal of Chemical Physics, and the Journal of Organic Chemistry is interesting. We did not try to find out if this was because leading Canadian researchers publish in these journals also. Considering its size. the low profile of the Physical Review in Canada is interesting.

In Table 4 we have updated Bishop's 1974 data on the world ranking of the

**Table 4.** Canadian source journals with impact factors greater than 1.00 (1977 Science Citation Index) A = impact. B = no. of citations received. C = world rank in impact.

	A	В	c
Canad, J. Biochem.	1.83	3,157	412
Canad. J. Chem.	1.61	10,742	494
J. Rheumatol.	1.58	340	508
Canad. J. Physiol. Pharm.	1.40	2.138	594
J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada	1.35	4.071	622
Canad. J. Phys.	1.20	4.559	715
Canad. Anaesth. Soc. J.	1.19	725	720
Canad. J. Microbiol.	1.11	2.936	774
Canad, J. Earth Sci.	1.08	1.572	801

top Canadian journals. This table shows the nine Canadian journals that achieved an impact factor of at least 1.0 during 1977, a distinction achieved by 30% of the journals we cover. These nine journals published about 40% of the Canadian journal articles, and received about 60% of the citations going to Canadian journals.

It is interesting to note the changes from Bishop's data over the three year period. While the Canadian Journal of Earth Science declined slightly, many Canadian life science journals have greater impact. One can only speculate on the reason for this. If the life science literature is increasing, we would expect the absolute number of citations to life science journals to rise. But this should not necessarily affect impact. However, in a separate study, we have observed that the average number of citations per article, especially in biochemistry, is increasing. This would account in part for increases in impact factors.5 I would prefer to attribute the increase to improved quality. One caveat about these impact numbers: the ranks indicate that we are guilty of doing what we tell others not to do. For example, if the Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada ranks 622 with an impact of 1.35 then it should be compared, not to other Canadian journals, but rather to other fishery research journals. You can do this by consulting the last volume of the SCI which contains the Journal Citation Reports.

In the second part of this study, we will take a look at Canadian research and compare the impact of papers published at home with those published abroad. We'll also list the most-cited papers in these two categories.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bishop C T. Canadian journals are better than some think.
  - Science Forum 10(3):20-2. June 1977. (Reprinted in: Garfield E. A study of Canadian journal data illustrates the potential for citation analysis. Current Contents (42):5-12, 17 October 1977.)
- Garfield E. Journal citation studies. 25. German journals—what they cite and vice versa. Current Contents (18):5-11, 3 May 1976.
- 4. ............. Journal citation studies. 30. Italian journals. Current Contents (4):5-9, 24 January 1977.
- \*Reprinted in: Garfield E. Essays of an information scientist. Philadelphia: ISI Press, 1977. 2 vols.