This Week's Citation Classic

Rogers E M & Shoemaker F F. Communication of innovations: a cross-cultural approach. New York: Free Press, 1971, 476 p.

[Department of Communication, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI]

The diffusion of innovations is the process in which a new idea spreads via certain communication channels over time among the members of a social system. An innovation is defined as an idea perceived as new by an individual or a system. [The SSCI® indicates that this book has been cited in more than 960 publications, while its first and third editions, Diffusion of Innovations (Rogers, 1962 and 1983), were cited in more than 815 and 370 publications, respectively. The total number of citations for all editions of this book is more than 2,145.]

Rise of the Classical Diffusion Model

Everett M. Rogers Annenberg School for Communication University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-0281

My 1971 book was the second edition of a four-edition series, with a new edition aupearing approximately every decade. So to tell the whole story, I must start with the 1962 edition. My interest in the diffusion of innovations began with my doctoral dissertation, an investigation of the adoption of agricultural technologies by lowa farmers, at Iowa State University in the mid-1950s. My chapter on the review of literature argued that agricultural diffusion studies, research on how new educational ideas, like kindergartens and driver training, spread among schools, and the diffusion of a new antibiotic among medical doctors, all were characterized by a common set of generalizations.

While a young assistant professor at Ohio State University, I expanded this chapter into book form, summarizing the same 305 diffusion studies then existing into a general model of diffusion, that later came to be called the "classical" model. Three publishers did not respond to my letters of inquiry (there was no apparent market for the book as a text at that time; only three university-level courses on diffusion were then taught). But the editor of Free Press "overcame his better judgment," he told me, and agreed to publish the 1962 (first) edition. The book was

an instant publishing success, going into a fifth printing during the first year of publication. No one was more surprised than I.

During the 1960s, scholars in a variety of disciplines studied diffusion; criticisms of the classical diffusion model appeared, and the model was modified in certain important ways. The 1971 (second) edition was based on some 2,000 diffusion studies, findings of which were summarized in a series of 104 generalizations. Floyd Schoemaker, a colleague at Michigan State University, where I was then teaching, helped write this second edition, titled Communication of Innovations to distinguish it from the 1963 edition and to ensure that its content was not confused with work on diffusion processes in physics.

By 1971, numerous university courses in diffusion were taught, and textbook use was an important part of my book's readership. In 1975, the American Marketing Association selected my book for the Converse Award as one of the most important books of the previous decade. W. Paisley¹ reported that my 1962 book, and the 1971 second edition, considered together, was the second most widely cited book in the social sciences.

Why? Diffusion is of interest to scholars in many social sciences because it explains how social change occurs at the micro level. The diffusion of any kind of innovation can be studied with the classical model, from the steel axes of Australian aborigines, to fax machines in the US.

The diffusion field has been characterized by consistent, incremental progress toward greater understanding of how individuals adopt new ideas, with few wildly creative intellectual breakthroughs occurring. Today, approximately 4,000 publications about the diffusion of innovations have appeared. Other books about diffusion have been written, dealing with its geographical/spatial aspects,² applications to business and marketing,³ innovation in organizations,⁴ and with mathematical models of diffusion.⁵

Received December 3, 1990

©1991 by ISI® CURRENT CONTENTS®

^{1.} Paisley W. Communication in the communication sciences. Prog. Commun. Sci. 5:1985.

^{2.} Brown LA. Innovation diffusion: a new perspective. New York: Methuen, 1981.

^{3.} Robertson T S. Innovative behavior and communication. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1971.

^{4.} Zeltman G et al. Innovations and organizations. New York: Wiley, 1973.

^{5.} Mahajan V & Peterson R A. Models of innovation diffusion. Newbury Park, CA: Sage, 1985.

This Week's Citation Classic 🗓

Rogers E M & Shoemaker F F. Communication of innovations: a cross-cultural approach.

New York: Free Press, 1971. 476 p.

[Department of Communication, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI]

tion. No one was more surprised than I. fifth printing during the first year of publicaan instant publishing success, going into a

ensure that its content was not confused with of bas noilibe £891 and mort it delugniteib of edition, titled Communication of Innovations was then teaching, helped write this second league at Michigan State University, where I generalizations. Floyd Schoemaker, a colwhich were summarized in a series of 104 to synibnit ,esibute noisuffib 000,5 smos no ways. The 1971 (second) edition was based model was modified in certain important classical diffusion model appeared, and the disciplines studied diffusion; criticisms of the During the 1960s, scholars in a variety of

widely cited book in the social sciences. considered together, was the second most 1962 book, and the 1971 second edition, ous decade. W. Paisley! reported that my one of the most important books of the previselected my book for the Converse Award as 1975, the American Marketing Association an important part of my book's readership. In diffusion were taught, and textbook use was By 1971, numerous university courses in work on diffusion processes in physics.

chines in the US. steel axes of Australian aborigines, to fax mastudied with the classical model, from the diffusion of any kind of innovation can be social change occurs at the micro level. The many social sciences because it explains how Why? Diffusion is of interest to scholars in

e, a cital models of diffusion. diw bas *, anoitasinagno ni noitavonni E, gni pects,2 applications to business and marketen, dealing with its geographical/spatial as-Other books about diffusion have been writdiffusion of innovations have appeared. approximately 4,000 publications about the intellectual breakthroughs occurring, Today, adopt new ideas, with few wildly creative greater understanding of how individuals by consistent, incremental progress toward The diffusion field has been characterized

> book is more than 2,145.] The total number of citations for all editions of this more than 815 and 370 publications, respectively. Innovations (Rogers, 1962 and 1983), were cited in cations, while its first and third editions, Diffusion of that this book has been cited in more than 960 publiby an individual or a system. [The SSCI @ indicates An innovation is defined as an idea perceived as new nels over time among the members of a social system. new idea spreads via certain communication chan-The diffusion of innovations is the process in which a

Rise of the Classical Diffusion Model

Los Angeles, CA 90089-0281 University of Southern California Annenberg School for Communication Everett M. Rogers

among medical doctors, all were characterschools, and the diffusion of a new antibiotic gartens and driver training, spread among on how new educational ideas, like kinderthat agricultural diffusion studies, research chapter on the review of literature argued lows State University in the mid-1950s. My cultural technologies by lowa farmers, at fion, an investigation of the adoption of agriinnovations began with my doctoral disserta-1962 edition. My interest in the diffusion of tell the whole story, I must start with the pearing approximately every decade, So to four-edition series, with a new edition ap-My 1971 book was the second edition of a

publish the 1962 (first) edition. The book was better judgment," he told me, and agreed to But the editor of Free Press "overcame his level courses on diffusion were then taught). as a text at that time; only three university-(there was no apparent market for the book ers did not respond to my letters of inquiry called the "classical" model. Three publishmodel of diffusion, that later came to be sion studies then existing into a general book form, summarizing the same 305 diffu-State University, I expanded this chapter into While a young assistant professor at Ohio ized by a common set of generalizations.

Received December 3, 1990

^{2.} Brown L.A. Innovation diffusion: a new perspective. New York: Methuen, 1981. 1. Paisley W. Communication in the communication sciences. Prog. Commun. Sci. 5:1985.

Zeltman G et al. Innovations and organizations. New York: Wiley, 1973. Robertson T S. Innovative behavior and communication. New York: Holt, Rinchart and Winston, 1971.

^{5.} Mahajan V & Peterson R A. Models of innovation diffusion. Newbury Park, CA: Sage, 1985.