

This Week's Citation Classic[®]

Swaab D F, Pool C W & Nijveldt F. Immunofluorescence of vasopressin and oxytocin in the rat hypothalamo-neurohypophyseal system. *J. Neural Transm.* 36:195-215, 1975.
[Netherlands Central Institute for Brain Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands]

The development and application of a method for the specific localization of vasopressin and oxytocin in the rat brain by immunofluorescence is described. The topographic distribution of these neuropeptides is given not only in the classical neuroendocrine cells of the supraoptic and paraventricular nucleus, but vasopressin was also found in the supra-chiasmatic nucleus. [The SC[®] indicates that this paper has been cited in over 175 publications.]

Immunofluorescence of Vasopressin and Oxytocin

Dick Swaab
Netherlands Institute for Brain Research
Meibergdreef 33
1105 AZ Amsterdam
The Netherlands

April 5, 1990

This paper and reference 1 deal with the development and the application of a procedure for the specific immunocytochemical localization of vasopressin and oxytocin in the rat brain, at the Netherlands Institute for Brain Research. I wrote the first draft of this paper during a short Christmas holiday in Paris in 1974, exactly two years after J.L. Touber (University Clinic for Internal Medicine, Amsterdam) suspected his animal keeper had killed a few of his rabbits that were immunized against vasopressin for an economical Christmas dinner. Investigation of their hypothalamus, blood, and urine revealed, however, that these animals suffered from a severe diabetes insipidus due to excellent antibodies against vasopressin.

In August 1973 I went to T.E.W. Feltkamp of the Central Laboratory of the Red Cross with far too many of these antibodies in a Dewar vessel and followed the procedure they routinely used to determine autoantibodies in serum of patients. The next day I found for the first time (by immunofluorescence) vasopressin in cells of the supraoptic nucleus (SON).

Following improvements of the fixation procedure, I wrote a thesis proposal on this line of work, but our director at that time, J. Ariëns Kappers, decided to give priority to his own interest in the pineal gland. In 1974, as a consolation, I was

allowed to employ C.W. Pool as a part-time student for the development of immunocytochemistry. He has contributed enormously to the immunocytochemical research in our institute. In spite of—or because of—Ariëns Kappers's decision, we dedicated our paper to him on his 65th birthday. Later he told me he had enjoyed this present very much.

Touber's group showed by radioimmunoassay that our antibodies were specific for vasopressin. Yet, we got strong staining in the hypothalamus of a homozygous diabetes insipidus rat. This "Brattleboro" mutant is not capable of producing vasopressin. Using peptides on agarose beads (a model system that P.J.A. Capel presented during the Fifth International Conference on Immunofluorescence and Related Staining Techniques²), it was shown that this staining was due to cross-reactivity with the related peptide oxytocin, and a solid phase procedure for antibody purification was developed.

Our findings on the topographic distribution of vasopressin and oxytocin in the SON and paraventricular nucleus (PVN) showed that each cell contained one hormone (i.e., vasopressin or oxytocin) and that vasopressin cells were localized more caudally and oxytocin cells more rostrally; and our findings contradicted the "classical" view that the SON would predominantly or entirely synthesize vasopressin and the PVN, oxytocin. These results are mentioned in 66 percent of the citations to this paper. In addition, vasopressin was found in neurons that were not neurosecretory in nature, i.e., in the supra-chiasmatic nucleus. This new and important aspect is only mentioned in 10 percent of the citations. Yet, our paper thus became the start of a number of well-cited papers from our group on extrahypothalamic sites of production of these neuropeptides,³ their transport by nerve fibers to other brain areas,⁴ and release by synaptic contacts⁵ currently also in the human brain.^{6,7}

However, the important methodological point of our papers, i.e., (1) that data on the potency or specificity of an antibody in a radioimmunoassay do not give any information on its immunocytochemical properties, and (2) the problem of cross-reactivity of related peptides and the necessity to use solid-phase adsorption for purification of antibodies against peptides, did not get across sufficiently. Papers overlooking these problems are still published regularly. It is remarkable that these aspects have low citation scores (in 2 percent and 4 percent of the citing papers, respectively). It is hard to believe that this is because the message was not brought out sufficiently clearly in our paper, especially since our group has since then repeated this message over and over again in courses, reviews, and other papers with only limited practical success. It is probably just more convenient and attractive to apply an antibody and describe the results instead of carrying out painstaking work to find out what is the substance one has actually stained using a combination of separation techniques and immunocytochemistry.

1. Swaab D F & Pool C W. Specificity of oxytocin and vasopressin immunofluorescence. *J. Endocrinology* 66:263-72, 1975. (Cited 140 times.)
2. Capel P J A. The defined antigen substrate spheres (DASS) system and some of its applications. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 254:108-18, 1975. (Cited 15 times.)
3. Van Leeuwen F W & Caffé A R. Vasopressin-immunoreactive cell bodies in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis of the rat. *Cell Tissue Res.* 228:525-34, 1983. (Cited 75 times.)
4. Buijs R M, Swaab D F, Dogterom J & Van Leeuwen F W. Intra- and extrahypothalamic vasopressin and oxytocin pathways in the rat. *Cell Tissue Res.* 186:423-33, 1978. (Cited 265 times.)
5. Buijs R M & Swaab D F. Immunoelectron microscopic demonstration of vasopressin and oxytocin containing synapses in the limbic system of the rat. *Cell Tissue Res.* 204:355-65, 1979. (Cited 145 times.)
6. Swaab D F, Fliers E & Partiman T S. The supra-chiasmatic nucleus of the human brain in relation to sex, age, and dementia. *Brain Res.* 342:37-44, 1985. (Cited 30 times.)
7. Swaab D F, Hofman M A & Honnebiër M B O M. Development of vasopressin neurons in the human supra-chiasmatic nucleus in relation to birth. *Develop. Brain Res.* 52:289-93, 1990.