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In the late-1950s and early-1960s, I was trying to obtain distance constraints by experimental physical chemical methods to determine the three-dimensional structure of ribonuclease in aqueous solution. For example, three specific Tyr...Asp interactions were identified, and subsequently verified when the crystal structure was determined (see Fig. 5 of ref. 1). With this information and knowledge of the location of the four disulfide bonds, it seemed appropriate to try to compute the structure from a knowledge of the amino acid sequence. At the same time (1962), one of my graduate students, George Némethy, had just finished a PhD thesis on the structure of water and hydrophobic bonding in proteins. Two of the papers have been designated as Citation Classics, and we decided to develop methods to compute the conformation of a protein. Our initial efforts were devoted to procedures to generate a polypeptide chain (initially, as a trial calculation, an octapeptide loop of ribonuclease, and used only a hard-sphere potential to determine the sterically-allowed conformations. During that time, the papers of Ramachandran et al. and John and Charlotte Schellman on the sterically-allowed conformations of a “dipeptide” appeared, and use was made of this information to reduce the conformational space to be searched in the calculations on the ribonuclease loop.

With this initial indication of the feasibility of such a computational approach, and supported by additional calculations on helical structures with Némethy and Svd Leach, we began to develop more realistic potential functions than the simple hard-sphere potential, and the Citation Classic indicated here reviewed this work. Simultaneously, similar efforts were carried on in the laboratories of P.J. Flory, S. Lilson, A.M. Liquori and G.N. Ramachandran.

The cited paper also discussed applications of this methodology to various polypeptide conformational problems; much of the work, cited them as “in progress,” was subsequently completed successfully and provided considerable insight into the factors affecting the conformations of polypeptides and proteins. The basic underlying methodology and approaches are still valid today. However, with the availability of more experimental data, the potential functions have been refined in a self-consistent way. In my turn laboratory, this refinement was codified (with the help of Frank Momany, Bob McGuire and Tony Burgess) into CEPP (Empirical Conformational Energy Program for Peptides), and subsequently updated (CEPP/2) with even more recent experimental data. Other potential functions have been developed in other laboratories (e.g., AMBER, CHARMM, DISCOVER, etc.). While improvement of these potential functions (including entropy and hydration effects) is an ongoing effort, most current work is being devoted to the multiple-minima problem, the solution of which (together with good potential functions) should lead to the prediction of the three-dimensional structure of a globular protein from a knowledge of its amino acid sequence. This methodology has spawned many companies that commercialize these techniques, and has provided the theoretical basis for current work in biotechnology. A summary of recent progress in the computational aspects of protein conformation is provided in references 1 and 11.
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